

## The Crystal Structure of Copper Dimethylglyoxime Dichloride

DAG H. SVEDUNG

*Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Chalmers Technical University and University of  
Göteborg, P.O. Box, S-402 20 Göteborg 5, Sweden*

The crystal structure of copper dimethylglyoxime dichloride,  $\text{Cu}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_2\text{N}_2)\text{Cl}_2$ , has been determined by three-dimensional X-ray methods. The space group of the structure is  $P\bar{1}$  and the unit cell dimensions are  $a=7.697$  Å,  $b=8.174$  Å,  $c=8.120$  Å,  $\alpha=108.13^\circ$ ,  $\beta=69.16^\circ$ , and  $\gamma=78.69^\circ$ .


The structure can be visualised as being built up from two parallel coplanar copper dimethylglyoxime chains facing one another and held together by two chlorine bridges between the copper atoms. The copper atom is thus five-coordinated by a distorted square planar arrangement of two nitrogen atoms and two chlorine atoms within the same molecule, and a chlorine atom belonging primarily to the adjacent molecule. The presence of two hydrogen bonds was indicated by the infrared spectrum of the salt. One of them probably links the copper dimethylglyoxime dichloride molecules together to form the chain and the other is probably an intramolecular hydrogen bond of the type  $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Cl}$ .

The aim of this work was to contribute to a research programme already in progress at this Department concerning the coordination chemistry of the transition metals and to clarify a problem connected with studies of the properties of metal dioximes at the Department of Analytical Chemistry.

Since the reaction between nickel(II) and dimethylglyoxime was first reported by Tchugaeff<sup>1</sup> in 1905, many attempts have been made to elucidate the selective properties of dimethylglyoxime for certain metal ions. Ni(II), Cu(II), Pd(II), and Pt(II) have been found to form complexes with the composition  $\text{M}(\text{HD})_2$ , where  $\text{H}_2\text{D}$  is the acid form of the dioxime. Composite salts have also been synthesised. Paneth and Thilo<sup>2</sup> prepared  $\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{D})_2\text{Cl}_2$ , Feigl and Rubenstein<sup>3</sup> both  $\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{D})\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{D})_2\text{Br}_2$ , and Dubsy and Brychta<sup>4</sup>  $\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{D})_2\text{Br}_2$ . Thilo<sup>5</sup> was the first to report the existence of the compound,  $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{D})\text{Cl}_2$ . The coordination chemistry of the dioximes has been reviewed by Dyrssen.<sup>6</sup>

## THE COMPOUND

Copper dimethylglyoxime dichloride was prepared by Ivanova using the method of Cox *et al.*<sup>7</sup> Cold alcoholic solutions of anhydrous copper(II) chloride (15 mmoles in 80 ml ethanol) and dimethylglyoxime (17 mmoles in 120 ml ethanol) were mixed together, whereupon deep green, plate-shaped crystals rapidly separated. The product was washed with absolute ethanol and dried. It was found to be stable in air but it decomposed in water probably by disproportionation:



A chemical analysis yielded the following results:

	% C	% H	% N	% Cu	% Cl
Experimental	19.52	3.22	11.28	24.9	28.4
Theoretical	19.17	3.22	11.18	25.3	28.3

The compound undergoes a violent exothermal decomposition when heated to 215°C in argon atmosphere, and thereby loses  $35.9 \pm 0.1$  % of its original weight. An X-ray powder investigation of the residue showed that it contained, besides carbon, copper(I) chloride. The decomposition reaction was studied using a Mettler recording vacuum thermo-analyzer.

## THE INFRARED SPECTRUM

The IR spectrum of dimethylglyoxime and the copper complexes  $\text{Cu}(\text{HD})_2$  and  $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{D})\text{Cl}_2$  in the solid state were recorded on a Beckman spectrophotometer, model IR9, using the potassium bromide disc technique. In the region 3200–3340  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  two separate absorption peaks were observed for  $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{D})\text{Cl}_2$  at 3220  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 3310  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. This is the region where the effects of hydrogen bonding on the O—H stretching frequency are to be expected. The absorption at 3310  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is in good agreement with a polymeric intermolecular O—H $\cdots$ O association as found by Nakamoto *et al.*<sup>8</sup> According to the estimations by Nakamoto an absorption at 3310  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to an oxygen-oxygen distance of 2.80 Å (found 2.90 Å). The absorption peak at 3220  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is probably due to an intramolecular hydrogen bond of the type O—H $\cdots$ Cl. Oximes<sup>9,10</sup> normally show an absorption band in the region 1600–1700  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  due to C=N stretching vibrations. This band is, however, displaced to lower frequencies in the spectra of  $\text{Cu}(\text{HD})_2$  and  $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{D})\text{Cl}_2$  in which the oxime groups are linked to the copper atom by nitrogen-metal bonds. The shift is most likely due to a displacement of electron charge from the double bond to the region between the copper and the nitrogen atom. It is difficult to explain why the shift is larger for  $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{D})\text{Cl}_2$  than for  $\text{Cu}(\text{HD})_2$  since the Cu—N bond lengths are practically equal. Fig. 1 shows a comparison of the IR spectra of the related compounds.

## STRUCTURE DETERMINATION

The layers  $0kl$  to  $\bar{6}kl$  (989 reflections) and  $h0l$  to  $h6l$  (792 reflections) were registered according to the single crystal Weissenberg method using multiple film techniques and  $\text{CuK}\alpha$ -radiation. The relative intensities of the reflections were estimated visually by comparison with a standard scale. The structure was found to be triclinic. From the zerolayer photographs ( $0kl$ ,




Fig. 1. The IR spectra (KBr) of dimethylglyoxime, copper bisdimethylglyoxime and copper dimethylglyoxime dichloride.

$h0l$ , and  $hk0$ ) it was then possible to choose and to approximately estimate the cell constants.

Accurate cell dimensions were determined from Guinier powder photographs, using  $\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  as internal standard and  $\text{CuK}\alpha_1$  radiation ( $\lambda(\text{CuK}\alpha_1) = 1.54050 \text{ \AA}$ ). 74 reflections were indexed with the computer pro-

Table 1. Powder data.

<i>h</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l</i>	$\sin^2\theta(\text{obs.})$ $\times 10^6$	$\sin^2\theta(\text{calc.})$ $\times 10^6$	<i>I</i> (Guinier) obs.	<i>I</i> (Weiss.) obs.
0	1	0	11 166	11 163	vs	122
-1	0	0	12 983	13 018	vs	—
0	-1	1	13 788	13 813	m	30
-1	-1	0	15 812	15 853	vs	—
-1	1	-1	23 748	23 771	m	28
-1	1	0	32 440	32 511	vw	9
0	1	1	33 444	33 421	m	36
-1	0	1	36 896	36 861	m	36
0	2	-1	37 440	37 499	w	72
-1	1	-2	40 019	39 938	m+	47
0	1	-2	41 327	41 370	m+	51
-1	-2	1	45 215	45 250	vw	5
-2	0	0	52 090	52 074	s	61
0	-2	2	55 299	55 252	vs	290
-2	0	-2	56 331	56 331	w	26
-2	1	-1	59 806	59 766	w	36
-1	-1	-2	62 529	62 496	m	66
-2	-2	0	63 439	63 413	vw	43
-2	-1	-2	70 394	70 444	m	61
-2	-2	-1	72 678	72 695	m-	33
-1	-2	2	74 405	74 392	m	145
-2	1	0	79 900	79 895	s	145
-1	0	2	85 713	85 612	w	15
-2	0	1	87 327	87 307	vw	30
-1	-3	0	88 458	88 503	vw	22
0	-3	2	91 350	91 462	m	86
0	1	-3	93 846	93 834	w	18
-3	0	-1	95 490	95 452	s	61
0	-2	3	97 925	97 912	w	18
-1	-3	2	102 156	102 273	m	43
-3	-1	0	103 293	103 344	m	79
-1	-2	-2	107 354	107 266	s	145
-3	-2	0	112 033	111 848	w	30
-3	0	0	117 242	117 167	m+	145
-2	-2	2	119 661	119 570	w	24
-2	-1	2	122 470	122 345	m	51
-1	-1	-3	123 166	123 179	w	28
0	3	-3	124 235	124 312	vw	24
-3	0	-3	126 674	126 744	vw	18
-1	3	-3	128 339	128 154	w	10
-2	2	0	129 971	130 044	m	43
-3	-2	-2	132 541	132 542	w	43
0	2	2	133 601	133 685	w	17
-3	-2	1	139 039	138 863	vw	28
-3	-1	-3	142 337	142 333	vw	18
-1	1	-4	146 902	146 995	w	15
-2	0	2	147 389	147 447	m-	30
-2	1	-4	148 674	148 822	m	26
-2	3	-3	158 027	158 028	w	43
-2	0	-4	160 219	160 217	w+	26
-3	2	-3	162 699	162 547	w+	43
-4	-1	-2	164 453	164 452	m	26
-1	0	-4	166 773	166 719	m+	36
-4	-2	-1	172 866	172 825	w+	30
-4	-2	0	186 231	186 321	m	36

Table 1. *Continued.*

-4 -1 -3	191 067	190 966	m+	61
-3 -1 2	202 032	201 888	w	43
-4 -3 0	208 639	208 823	w	51
-3 3 -2	215 275	215 252	w-	36
-4 -2 -3	220 534	220 554	m+	72
-2 1 -5	228 446	228 322	vw	13
-1 1 -5	237 805	237 885	w+	36
-3 1 -5	244 754	244 796	vw	15
-5 -1 -2	250 580	250 512	vw	9
-3 2 -5	254 325	254 253	vw	11
-2 2 2	264 680	264 633	vw	15
-5 -2 -2	261 983	261 967	w	30
-3 -2 3	267 553	267 613	vw	15
-1 3 2	269 825	269 895	vw	30
0 5 0	279 198	279 087	vwv	3
-4 1 -5	287 199	287 307	w+	36
-5 0 -4	297 038	296 933	vw	18
-1 1 4	316 596	316 544	vw	9
-5 1 -1	323 778	323 975	vw	18

w=weak; vw=very weak; m=medium; s=strong; vs=very strong.

Table 2. Atomic co-ordinates, expressed as fractions of the cell edges, and isotropic thermal parameters in  $\text{\AA}^2$  for copper dimethylglyoxime dichloride.

Atom	$x \pm \sigma(x)$	$y \pm \sigma(y)$	$z \pm \sigma(z)$	$B \pm \sigma(B)$
Cu	$0.00084 \pm 0.00024$	$0.00808 \pm 0.00024$	$0.71420 \pm 0.00024$	$3.49 \pm 0.05$
Cl1	$0.96345 \pm 0.00047$	$0.79022 \pm 0.00047$	$0.49528 \pm 0.00045$	$3.25 \pm 0.07$
Cl2	$0.32787 \pm 0.00048$	$0.88040 \pm 0.00048$	$0.61194 \pm 0.00046$	$3.37 \pm 0.07$
O1	$0.13568 \pm 0.00150$	$0.22878 \pm 0.00157$	$0.98257 \pm 0.00158$	$4.45 \pm 0.21$
O2	$0.56335 \pm 0.00153$	$0.09175 \pm 0.00151$	$0.82163 \pm 0.00155$	$4.34 \pm 0.20$
N1	$0.97923 \pm 0.00154$	$0.19428 \pm 0.00154$	$0.94827 \pm 0.00151$	$3.04 \pm 0.19$
N2	$0.70671 \pm 0.00161$	$0.14227 \pm 0.00164$	$0.87023 \pm 0.00162$	$3.43 \pm 0.21$
C1	$0.80702 \pm 0.00173$	$0.31311 \pm 0.00181$	$0.08011 \pm 0.00173$	$2.90 \pm 0.22$
C2	$0.64619 \pm 0.00189$	$0.27667 \pm 0.00193$	$0.03011 \pm 0.00186$	$3.07 \pm 0.23$
C3	$0.77311 \pm 0.00234$	$0.47801 \pm 0.00240$	$0.25362 \pm 0.00232$	$4.52 \pm 0.30$
C4	$0.43001 \pm 0.00215$	$0.38682 \pm 0.00221$	$0.17322 \pm 0.00213$	$4.03 \pm 0.28$

gramme Powder<sup>11</sup> and the same programme was used to refine the cell constants. The unit cell dimensions were found to be:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= 7.6970 \pm 0.0006 \text{ \AA} \\
 b &= 8.1743 \pm 0.0012 \text{ \AA} \\
 c &= 8.1203 \pm 0.0007 \text{ \AA} \\
 \alpha &= 108.135 \pm 0.009^\circ \\
 \beta &= 69.160 \pm 0.006^\circ \\
 \gamma &= 78.692 \pm 0.008^\circ \\
 V &= 425.84 \text{ \AA}^3
 \end{aligned}$$

Observed and calculated values of  $\sin^2\theta$  and the corresponding intensities of the reflections are listed in Table 1. The density was determined to be  $1.95 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , indicating two formula units per unit cell (calculated density =  $1.96 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ).

A three-dimensional Patterson synthesis based on the data from the  $a$ -axis indicated that the space group of the structure was  $P\bar{1}$  and revealed the parameters of the copper and chlorine atoms. Using the signs of the structure factors obtained with these positions a three dimensional Fourier calculation was performed from which the positions of the light atoms could be determined. After a few cycles of isotropic least squares refinement the  $R$ -value converged to 0.158. At this stage the data from the  $b$ -axis was available and in order to obtain improved standard deviations of the atomic parameters

Table 3. Interatomic distances and angles with their standard deviations.

Bond	$L \pm \sigma$ (Å)	Angle	$\theta \pm \sigma^\circ$
Cu—Cu'	$3.445 \pm 0.003$	Cl1'—Cu—N1	$96.79 \pm 0.33$
Cu—N1	$1.958 \pm 0.011$	Cl1'—Cu—O1	$94.20 \pm 0.23$
Cu—N2	$2.013 \pm 0.011$	Cl1'—Cu—N2	$92.29 \pm 0.34$
Cu—Cl1	$2.238 \pm 0.004$	Cl1'—Cu—O2	$92.07 \pm 0.22$
Cu—Cl2	$2.249 \pm 0.004$	Cl1'—Cu—Cl1	$92.00 \pm 0.13$
Cu—Cl1'	$2.698 \pm 0.004$	Cl1'—Cu—Cl2	$95.58 \pm 0.12$
Cu—O1	$2.996 \pm 0.011$	Cl2—Cu—Cl1	$98.62 \pm 0.14$
Cu—O2	$3.034 \pm 0.010$	Cl2—Cu—N1	$90.50 \pm 0.32$
O1—N1	$1.389 \pm 0.015$	Cl2—Cu—N2	$165.93 \pm 0.34$
O1—O2	$2.895 \pm 0.014$	Cl1—Cu—N1	$166.68 \pm 0.33$
O1—Cl2	$3.033 \pm 0.012$	Cl1—Cu—N2	$92.73 \pm 0.34$
O2—N2	$1.390 \pm 0.015$	N1—Cu—N2	$76.98 \pm 0.45$
O2—Cl1	$3.120 \pm 0.011$	Cu—Cl1—Cu'	$88.00 \pm 0.13$
N1—C1	$1.298 \pm 0.016$	Cu—N1—O1	$126.23 \pm 0.80$
N2—C2	$1.271 \pm 0.018$	Cu—N2—O2	$125.11 \pm 0.85$
C1—C2	$1.480 \pm 0.018$	Cu—N1—C1	$119.86 \pm 0.86$
C1—C3	$1.513 \pm 0.018$	Cu—N2—C2	$117.62 \pm 0.91$
O2—Cl2	$3.281 \pm 0.011$	N1—O1—O2	$142.25 \pm 0.81$
C2—C4	$1.519 \pm 0.020$	N2—O2—O1	$136.81 \pm 0.81$
		N1—O1—Cl2	$75.12 \pm 0.64$
		N2—O2—Cl1	$75.49 \pm 0.64$
		N1—C1—C2	$111.55 \pm 1.10$
		N1—C1—C3	$125.01 \pm 1.16$
		C3—C1—C2	$123.33 \pm 1.15$
		C4—C2—C1	$121.24 \pm 1.15$
		N2—O2—Cl2	$164.74 \pm 0.78$
		Cl1—C2—N2	$113.88 \pm 1.14$
		N2—C2—C4	$124.79 \pm 1.21$
		O2—N2—C2	$117.11 \pm 1.08$
		O1—N1—C1	$113.50 \pm 1.05$

a subsequent refinement was performed with the combined sets of data. The final  $R$ -value was 0.149. An absorption correction was performed but due to the small size of the crystals and the low value of the linear absorption coefficient no better results were obtained.

The fractional atomic parameters and standard deviations are given in Table 2, relevant bond distances and angles in Tables 3 and 4 and the observed and calculated structure factors in Table 5.

Table 4. Intramolecular plane angles and plane-atom distances in  $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{D})\text{Cl}_2$ .

Atoms defining the plane	Plane No.	Least squares plane
Cu — Cl1 — Cl2	1	$-0.093554x + 0.789509y - 0.606567z = -4.593323$
Cu — N1 — N2	2	$-0.142987x + 0.888244y - 0.436552z = -4.048918$
Cl1 — Cl2 — N1 — N2	3	$-0.124397x + 0.843199y - 0.523011z = -4.502728$
O1 — C3 — C4 — O2	4	$-0.179343x + 0.853762y - 0.488801z = -4.419835$

## Angles between the planes

plane 1 — plane 2	11.6351°
plane 1 — plane 3	5.9610°
plane 1 — plane 4	9.1332°
plane 2 — plane 3	5.6885°
plane 2 — plane 4	4.1484°
plane 3 — plane 4	3.7581°

## Plane-atom distances

plane 1 — Cu	0.000014 Å
— Cl1	0.000018
— Cl2	0.000002
plane 2 — Cu	-0.000046
— N1	0.000033
— N2	0.000006
plane 3 — Cl1	-0.011432
— Cl2	0.011889
— N1	-0.016277
— N2	0.015817
— Cu	0.151783
plane 4 — O1	-0.035735
— C3	0.060111
— C4	-0.059448
— O2	0.035067
— Cu	0.083386
— N1	-0.055349
— N2	0.079381

All calculations, including the Lorentz and polarization correction, were performed on the IBM 360/50 computer at the Göteborg Computing Center for Research and Education, using programmes originally written by Coppens *et al.*<sup>12</sup>

## DESCRIPTION OF THE STRUCTURE AND DISCUSSION

The structure is built up from double chains of copper dimethylglyoxime dichloride dimers. The two molecules of the dimer are related by an inversion center at  $(0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ . The molecular plane is normal to the  $yz$ -plane and the chains of dimers, held together by hydrogen bonds, extend parallel to the  $x$ -axis.

The copper atom is coordinated by an almost coplanar arrangement of two nitrogen atoms and two chlorine atoms within the molecule and to one chlorine atom, at the apex of a square pyramid, belonging primarily to the














*Fig. 2.* A projection of the structure of copper dimethylglyoxime dichloride. The two molecules of the dimer are related by an inversion center at  $(0,0,\frac{1}{2})$ , *i.e.* midway between the copper atoms Cu and Cu'.

adjacent molecule. The dimer thus formed is in this way held together by two copper-chlorine-copper bridges as is shown in Fig. 2 (Cu—Cl1'—Cu' and Cu'—Cl1—Cu). The copper atom is displaced 0.15 Å out of the molecular plane in the direction of chlorine atom (Cl1') of the neighbouring molecule. The mean copper-nitrogen distance is 1.99 Å and the mean intramolecular copper-chlorine distance is 2.24 Å. The third chlorine atom is coordinated by a weak bond of length 2.70 Å.

The presence of an intra-molecular hydrogen bond was indicated by the IR spectrum. The chlorine atom labelled Cl2 is only bound to one copper atom and should therefore have the most pronounced electron donor properties. The distance between O1 and Cl2 (3.03 Å) is shorter than that between O2 and Cl1 (3.12 Å). This might suggest the presence of a hydrogen bond between O1 and Cl2. The inter-molecular distance (2.90 Å) between the oxygen atoms O1 and O2 indicates the position of the other hydrogen bond seen in the IR-spectrum.

The hydrogen atoms appear to be in fixed positions, otherwise the IR peaks would not be sharp. The N1—O1—Cl2 and N2—O2—O1 angles are 75° and 136°, respectively. The N—O—H angles should be approximately 108°, which means that the hydrogen bonds cannot be linear.

Relevant bond distances and angles are shown in Fig. 3 a, b.

Copper dichloride compounds have often been found to polymerise as a result of the electron donor properties of the chlorine atoms, to form chains of the type found in CuCl<sub>2</sub>. The copper atom is thus four-coordinated, by a coplanar arrangement of ligands and two possible further ligands can be,




Fig. 3a. Bond distances in Å for copper dimethylglyoxime dichloride. 3b. Bond angles.

so as to say, attached perpendicular to the plane by weak bonds to form a distorted octahedral configuration about the copper atom. As long as the two chlorine atoms are ligands in *trans*-positions within the complex, they can both participate in bridging. This has been reported for dimethylnitrosamine-copper(II) dichloride<sup>13</sup> and dipyridine-copper(II) dichloride.<sup>14</sup> Duckworth *et al.*<sup>15</sup> found, however, that the polymerisation could sometimes be reduced to dimerisation as in the structure of di(4-methylpyridine)-copper(II) dichloride, and he suggested that this was a result of steric hindrance.

Five coordination by adduct formation is reported for diacetylacetonato-copper(II)<sup>16</sup> with quinoline. In the discrete complex the copper atom is coordinated to the four oxygen atoms of the acetylacetonate radicals forming a distorted coplanar arrangement, and to the nitrogen atom of the quinoline molecule at the apex of a square pyramid. Five-coordination has also been found in copper dimethylglyoxime,  $\text{Cu}(\text{HD})_2$ , both in the crystalline state<sup>17</sup> and in solution.<sup>18</sup> The crystal structure reveals dimers of coplanar  $\text{Cu}(\text{HD})_2$  molecules with two copper-oxygen linkages per dimer. In solution monomers of  $\text{Cu}(\text{HD})_2$  form adducts with quinoline and aliphatic amines. These complexes have been studied at this university by means of EPR.<sup>19</sup> The nickel and copper complexes of ethylmethyloxime are also being studied<sup>20</sup> at the Department of Analytical Chemistry.

*Acknowledgements.* I wish to thank Professor Georg Lundgren and Laborator Nils-Gösta Vannerberg at the Department of Inorganic Chemistry for valuable advice in connection with the structural determination. I also wish to thank Professor David Dyrssen and Dr. Elena Ivanova for suggesting the investigation and discussing the results. The English text of this paper has been revised by Mrs. Susan Jagner, M.A., fil.lic. Finally I wish to thank Mrs. Margareta Biéth for skilful technical assistance, and the *Swedish Natural Science Research Council* (Contract No. 2286-12) whose financial support has made this work possible.

## REFERENCES

1. Tehugaeff, L. *Z. anorg. Chem.* **46** (1905) 144.
2. Paneth, F. and Thilo, E. *Z. anorg. allgem. Chem.* **147** (1925) 196.
3. Feigl, F. and Rubenstein, H. *Ann.* **433** (1923) 183.
4. Dubsy, J. V. and Brychta, F. *Collection. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1** (1929) 137.
5. Thilo, E. *Sammlung Chem. und Chem.-techn. Vorträge* **13** (1932).
6. Dyrssen, D. *Svensk Kem. Tidskr.* **75** (1963) 618.
7. Cox, E. G., Scharratt, E., Wardlaw, W. and Webster, K. C. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1936** 129.
8. Nakamoto, K., Margoshes, M. and Rundle, R. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **77** (1955) 6480.
9. Cotton, F. A. *Modern Coordination Chemistry*, Interscience, New York 1960.
10. Fabian, J., Legrand, M. and Poirier, P. *Bull. Soc. Chim. France.* **23** (1956) 1499.
11. Lindqvist, O. and Wengelin, F. *Arkiv Kemi* **28** (1967) 179.
12. Modified, and in use at this Department, DATAP2 was originally written by Coppens *et al.*, LALS by Gantzel *et al.* and DRF and DISTAN by Zalkin.
13. Klement, U. and Schmidpeter, A. *Angew. Chem. Intern. Ed.* **7** [6] (1968) 470.
14. Dunitz, J. D. *Acta Cryst.* **10** (1957) 307.
15. Duckworth, V. F., Graddon, D. P., Stephenson, N. C. and Watton, E. C. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters* **3** (1967) 557.
16. Schuninchiro, O. and Quintus, F. *Chem. Commun.* **11** (1967) 532.
17. Frasson, E., Bardi, R. and Bezzi, S. *Acta Cryst.* **12** (1959) 201.
18. Dyrssen, D. and Hennicks, M. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **15** (1961) 47.
19. Dyrssen, D., Falk, K. E., Ivanova, E., Roos, B. and Vänngård, T. *To be published.*
20. Egneus, B. *Private communication.*

Received March 21, 1969.